## Applicant(s) Application No. BEHRENS, ALFRED F. 10/697,227 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3732 David Comstock All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) David Comstock. (2) Arthur Jacob. Date of Interview: 18 November 2004. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference 2) applicant's representative c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1-17. Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\boxtimes$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative elected without traverse species I, claims 1-10 and 12-16 (corresponding to Figs. 2, 3 and 4). Accordingly, claims 11 and 17 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1,142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. PRIMARY EXAMINER Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required

Attachment to a signed Office action.